Docket No. 05-0407 Quality Exhibit 1.6

Illinois Commerce Commission-JULIE Enforcement Investigation Excavator Information Request



Illinois Commerce Commission - JULIE Enforcement Investigation **Excavator Information Request**

Please provide information for the following incident:

ICC Case No.	Date of Incident	Location of Incident	Underground Facility Damaged	Operator of the Facility	Julie Dig #
0106-04	Aug. 10, 2004	2180 Kipling Lane, Highland Park, Illinois	.75-inch steel gas service	North Shore Gas	None
f Certain o	uestions are n	ot applicable to this in	cident, please answer th	nose with "N/A".	
Name: <u><</u>			Title: Owner		0455
the incid Yeslocation	ent? No X If i on the date of the	no, do you know who pe ne incident? If so, pleas	or demolition at or near the erformed excavation or dose provide the name, com	emolition at or ne pany, address and	ar the incident phone number:
Prevention	on Act requires (exclusive of S	all persons who intend	t the Illinois Undergroun to engage in excavation o holidays) prior to the exc	or demolition to ca	ill JULIE at least
Yes If no, ple by Cha We wa Locat If yes, ple a provide	No X ase explain why ecocoloro ecoco	y a locate request was no Pourner foe 5 cmed by Chroner been prouroed per: Chroneoland About 1	ocate request for the local of made. We were considered on the local of the local o	caued out to Vartous P nat au Ti Prual on s	TOD STEP PATCHWORK DATE STER.
b. provide c. indicate entry,	de the date and te the method to or fax):	time the excavation or our dised to make the reques	lemolition began: <u>NoExc.</u> t (i.e., phone, batch remo	ece=≢en èz De te ticket entry, we	b remote ticket

Rev. 8/13/04

Waived Did Not Waive

e. indicate whether you or your company waived the required company call-back:

5.	For a non-emergency locate request, did your Company wait the required 48 hours (exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays) after submitting your locate request before beginning excavation? YesNo If no, please explain \(\sum_{A} \)
6.	For excavation that extended beyond 28 days from the date of the original locate request, did your Companienes its locate request as required by the Damage Prevention Act? Yes No If no, please explain \(\begin{align*} alig
7.	If the locate request was an emergency request and you or your company did not wait 2 hours after the request was made to begin excavation or demolition, what site conditions warranted the earlier start time?
8.	Did the locator contact you or your company to request an extension? YesNo a. If yes, why?A b. If yes, the locator spoke with:
9.	Upon arrival at the site of the proposed excavation or demolition, did it appear that the underground facilities were marked? Yes No
10	Were the marks within the approximate location of the underground facility being marked? Yes No If no, how far were the marks from the actual facility?
11	Upon arrival at the site, did your company perform a site inspection and verify or check the following: a. The crew was in the correct location of excavation activities Yes No b. Check for clear evidence of unmarked utility or CATS lines Yes No
12	If underground facilities at the site of the proposed excavation or demolition were not marked, did the owner or operator of the underground facility inform you or your company that it did not own or operate any underground facilities within the proposed excavation or demolition area? Yes NoA a. If yes, who received the notification?A b. If yes, how was the notification made (e.g., face-to-face communication, phone or phone message, facsimile, posting or marking the excavation or demolition area, etc.)?A
13	If it was apparent that underground facilities at the site of the proposed excavation were not marked, did your Company make an additional call to JULIE to have the facilities marked? Yes No a. If yes, what time was the additional call made? Time:

Approximate location means a strip of land at least 3 feet wide, but not wider than the width of the underground facility plus 1.5 feet on either side of the facility.

Rev. 8/13/04

Page 2 of 4

2/07/04	14:00 FAX 708 728 1913
b. с.	If yes, what time did you or your company begin excavation? Time: If no, please explain why an additional call to JULIE was not made.
	The vaccous outlity's seemed to have been morked
14. Di	d you or your company use white paint, flags, stakes, or both to outline the dig site? YesNo
a.	If yes, what did you or your company use?
b.	If no, why not?
	No Excaustran or Demo took place by our company
etc	nat was the nature of the excavation or demolition (e.g., grading, trenching, drilling, plowing, boring, .)? De were saw content for street patchware per Crty of the Color Specification
16. Ple	ease explain what precautions your company utilized when exeavating within the tolerance zone ² , to nimize the chance of damaging underground facilities. (L)e more suggestives the
<u>∪</u> ≾ a:	LITELITE'S WERE MASKED - and then only sow out application of Ger over outliery kness Pavement was 9" Theck and top of Ges Line was only 8'2" deep from top of
	wement. Which Enclosed Pictures will confirm.
	nat type of equipment caused the damage to the facility?
	es usp concrete cutting sow.
18. Ple <u>U</u>	ase provide a description of the damage: De 60W CUT ENTO the top of the EXISTENCE COAS LINE.
19. WI	nen did the damage occur? Date/Time \$ 10\04 Noon
20. Wł	en did you or your company notify the owner or operator of the damaged facility?
	tertime: We notified Characoland POURO - IMMEDIATLY.
	Who made the report?
	Who received the report?
	en did you or your company notify JULIE of the damaged facility? Date/Time:
Wh	o made the report?
_	reneral, why do you think the underground facility was damaged?
	METER PAICHWARY UND NOTH Share GAS and not Every ULTICETY LIVES
Tolerar	be keep Parement Supposed of the approximate location of underground utility facilities defined as a strip of land at set wide, but not wider than the width of the underground facility or CATS facility plus 1-1/2 feet on either side of such
cust 3 to acility b	et wide, but not wider than the width of the anderground facility of CATS facility plus 1-1/2 leet on cate of Such ased upon the markings made by the owner or operator of the facility.

least 3 feet wide, but not wider than the width of the underground facility or CATS facility plus 1-1/2 feet on either side of such facility based upon the markings made by the owner or operator of the facility.

Rev. 8/13/04

Page 3 of 4

PROBLEMS DEMINISTRATION, DOT BOOK SECTION IL 192,361 PAGE 59.

COPV Encrosed.

If the upprous differences are inhereded from the bottom surprise of the exertise proposery concrete Rosoway. That moves it poemy hand to try and completely about at every formulation and differences conditions.

23. What steps has your company taken to prevent this type of incident in the future?

We continue to make these incident in the future?

In our company, we make sure an untilties have been moved and then we try not to saw out Outre four Depth over them. Again we do not do any Excavative

24. Please include any additional information (drawings, photographs, etc.) you or your company feels may further assist in this investigation:

We have enclosed und tous pactures that we feel book up our statements.

Please Return Completed form to:

JULIE Enforcement Illinois Commerce Commission 527 East Capitol Avenue Springfield, IL 62701

Fax (217) 524-5516

Illinois Commerce Commission-JULIE Enforcement Investigation Excavator Information Request and Photographs

